

34 The Humanising of Jesus

And the reasons behind

The Heresy of Modern bible Versions

The problem with modern bibles – an overview

The Greek text behind modern bibles was based on two forgeries that said they were the best ancient texts but have subsequently been proven to be forgeries which means they only express the viewpoint of people who had a heretical view of Christianity and wrote these codices to back up their heresy.

They remove His virgin birth

They remove the divinity of Jesus which means:

He was not God before He came to earth

His death was not sufficient to redeem us

He was not able to delegate authority over Satan

His words are no different to other religious founders such as Buddha or Confucius.

He was made a god after He dies because of what He did on earth (like Roman emperors were made after they died).

They look at a scientific approach to Jesus and examined other people who lived around the time of Jesus who were made gods after their death and assumed Jesus had to be like them. These people were conceived by sex between a god and female so assumed Jesus was the same and did not have a virgin birth.

When modern bibles are examined, there will be seen quite easily in some places in modern bibles.

Some of these will be seen in the following discussion.

Preface

Do not think that the 20% of changes made to the King James Version by modern Bibles were made to improve the text to make it more accurate. They were a result of heretics changing The Bible to back up their heresies.

Satan needs to change Christianity if he wants to merge its belief system with that of other religions to make it part of his one world religion, a religion that anyone can belong too.

The 20% of changes have this as their objective.

It is not a one world denomination Satan forms but a one world belief system all can belong too! Each using their own religious book and each religious book having similar themes so that they all correlate with each other and can agree basically with each other on their belief system.

They will all be united by one person Satan controls. He cannot control it openly or many would not accept this new world leader because of the attitude many religions have towards demons.

If Satan desires Christians to be a part of the one world religious system, he has to change what Christianity believes to make its followers able to fellowship with other religions and cults so he has

to change The Bible to make it say what he needs it to say to be able to change Christianity to what he needs it to believe.

Now you know why the King James Version had 20%, of it changed so that the belief system of Christianity would align with that of other religions so that 'Christians' could 'fellowship' with them without reacting to this belief system or finding doctrinal fault with it.

He needed to redefine what it is to be a Christian so that other faiths are acceptable to them and his two main weapons are the liberal theologians and a corrupted bible.

He has succeeded in this because there is now a church (Place of worship in Jerusalem) where Catholics, modern liberal Christians and Muslims can fellowship and worship together in complete unity.

Ecumenicism is also is attempt to make a one world belief system as it seeks to smooth out the problems that separate different belief systems so they will agree with each other.

Satan with his one world belief system corrupted 'Christian' Bible has set the scene for modern so-called Christianity to fit into his one world belief system used by his one world religion system.

If you do not believe in the religious beliefs of the anti-Christ, you will be exterminated,

Introduction

How did Satan attack Christianity?

He attacked its basis (The Bible and its doctrine) and by doing this aimed to Christianity's belief system.

Psa 11:3 If the foundations be destroyed, what can the righteous do?

He has, through the modern bibles, destroyed the foundations of Christianity which is basically who Jesus is and what He has done as well as altering prophecy and by this made The Bible little different to holy books of other religions.

For Centuries Satan hindered Christianity by hiding The Bible from the common people and leaving doctrine in the hand of scholars who promoted their viewpoints on it as well allowing the established church to proclaim doctrine according to what it needed to proclaim even though it might not have been Biblically correct. Few non-clergy people knew the bible to be able to correct any errors and if they did the established church removed anyone daring to criticise its doctrinal errors or promote The Bible and the reading of it. At times these people were burnt at the stake along with their Bibles.

Then the printing press came along and The Bible was accessible to all. The church was no longer able to stop people reading it and the reformation occurred. Satan now was losing control of established religion.

Direct frontal attack did not work as it only strengthened the Christians so he needed to attack it from inside Christianity and not externally if he wanted to control the church and guide it again.

So, he decided he would need to do the following:

1. Replace the correct Biblical text with his own version, changing doctrine from what was

correct to what he wanted to promote as correct doctrine.

2. He needed to find codices he could use to replace the traditional text with and have them accepted by scholarship and denominations as being more accurate than the traditional text.
3. He needed to remove the concept of Biblical preservation and inerrancy (that The Bible has no error in it) so he could alter his text to suit his needs and remove the traditional text as being corrupted and not correctly preserved by God.
4. He needed to find people who believe they could work out what God meant to say and not accept what was written in The Bible. People who would not believe The Holy Spirit was important or no longer guided the church so who would not listen to Him but be able to be guided by Satan to write what He wanted in this 'improved' Bible.
5. He needed people to write this new text and scholarship that would declare this new text was based on better codices than the traditional text and should be used in place of it. These scholars could not accept the traditional text or they would not teach this newer 'better' text. They would believe the older Biblical text was mythological in parts (Gen Ch 1-11) or inaccurate having been copied incorrectly over the centuries.
6. He needed reasoned scholarship to be more important than faith so people would believe what his scholars said in spite of it contradicting established doctrine.
7. He needed denominations to accept this new text and use it as their basis of doctrine and faith and to stop using the traditional text.
8. He then needed people to preach and teach it - preferably ministers and those authorised to teach doctrine in churches.
9. The power of The Holy Spirit and Miracles would need to be removed from Christianity to remove effectiveness of the Delegation Jesus gave us against Him
10. Jesus would need to be human like other religious leaders so that His birth was not special.
11. Jesus being made divine would be considered typical of the time He was born in.
12. Creation would need to be replaced with a secular viewpoint (evolution).
13. He needed a way to spread his disinformation and found it in the media, especially movies and television which is why watching television can be hazardous to your spiritual health.

This he has succeed in doing.

The objectives of Satan

From examining the approach of scholars and editors to modern bibles and seeing the alterations they have made the objectives of Satan appear to be as follows:

Make The Bible appear to be like any other holy book written by man so it can be altered without having to be worried about a God disapproving of the alterations.

Make out the Jesus was conceived normally and not miraculously so He is no different to the

founder of any other religion who were also called sons of god.

Because they implied Jesus could not redeem us or save us, because he was not divine, until after death, it appears salvation requires us to do something and not just accept what Jesus is supposed to have done.

They believe that a man, like Jesus, could become divine through His personal efforts just as Jesus became divine because of what He did and suffered just like others at the time of Jesus who were born human but were deified after their death. This is New Age belief and shows how deceived modern liberal theologians are.

He also had to change the attitudes of people to demons and hell so that the conflict with God was hidden and he could represent demons in a positive way or mythologise them so people would not believe in them and hell.

Satan will then have all religions with the same basic beliefs, so that they can become one in worship as their basic beliefs are all the same.

This is why true Jews, **true** Muslims, and true Christians will be exterminated in the tribulation when Antichrist rules so that they will not be there to show the errors of the one world religious system.

The problem with modern liberal biblical scholarship

Why examine what they think?

This is because of what they teach in most seminaries these days using bibles modified to express their beliefs so that Christianity is not taught but a pseudo-Christianity is taught because of the errors in the modern bibles they use.

Modern Biblical scholarship assume that men made errors in the transmission of The Bible when they copied earlier manuscripts and that the older the manuscript the more accurate it is. This ignores God's overall control of things and shows they do not really believe in the God of the Bible, who said He had preserved His Bible, but in a lesser God who cannot preserve the writings He originally told us.

*Psa 12:6 The **words** of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times.*

Psa 12:7 Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.

They begin by stating that it was 35+ years before the first Gospel was written and that all the information about the Life of Christ was passed down by word of mouth so that it was coloured by the belief of Christians that Jesus was divine. They ignore the fact that there still people living at the time the early books were written who had either been with Jesus or learned His teachings from Him,

They say that we have to correct the Gospels to remove the wrong statements in it and that we do not have other historical sources we can use to correct them that are earlier and more accurate than Sinaiticus and Vaticanus. So, they need to look at the practices and beliefs of the time of Jesus.

So, they judge an infinite Christ by finite man's myths and beliefs.

They examine the culture and beliefs of the time of Jesus and use it as a basis for interpreting The Bible. Unfortunately, it was based on Greek humanism (reason and philosophy, which did not believe in a God like the God of the Bible), as well as mythology and the history of the day and used these to determine what they believe was actually done by Jesus during His lifetime.

They found men who had been born from supposed sex with a God but no man born of a virgin birth (Is 7:14) so reasoned Christ was born through a woman having sex with a god. So, some bibles removed the references to a virgin birth when they translated The Bible, deliberately mistranslating words to do so.

Because they believe The Bible was not correctly transmitted, they do not believe the prophecies about Jesus and the purpose of His death. So, they examine the death of Jesus historically and state that crucified people were left hang on the cross and rot as part of the punishment so that Jesus would not have been taken down from the cross and buried in a tomb. They also state that Pilate would not have granted the wish of Nicodemus to bury Jesus because Pilate's character was such that he would not normally have granted this request anyway.

Unfortunately for them they could not change some things so their beliefs were not fully implemented in modern versions.

The virgin birth of Jesus and His bodily resurrection is what makes Christianity special. No other religious founder has been able to do these two things so scholars looking for an historical precedence for the resurrection do not find one. So, there are four schools of thought in modern scholarship about the bodily resurrection of Jesus:

He rose bodily (which they believe did not happen)

People had only visions about Him rising in in a body

People hallucinated about Him rising in a body

He did not die but only appeared to die.

In other words, it actually did not physically happen.

They do not realise that the resurrection had to occur because Jesus did not sin and death was only for those who had sinned. Besides they believe Jesus sinned so that He was not eligible to be resurrected anyway.

There is also the problem of the 1500+ people that saw the risen Jesus, which they ignore.

But it is hard to pretend death when a spear is thrust into where it will kill you unless you do not believe the crucifixion narrative in part or whole.

They believe Jesus was made a god after death but was not divine before birth so had no authority over Satan and that redemption could not have occurred. This means Jesus could not delegate authority to us over Satan and that the resurrection may not have occurred so was not a proof of His divinity so that Mark 16:9-21 were removed.

Anyone who was raised to heaven and seated with their god was considered at the time of Jesus to have become a god and be divine and this is how they believe the early Christians declared Jesus divine.

This viewpoint is in line with their using history as a basis to judge faith so that they also do not believe in miracles except to say others at the time of Christ or earlier were recorded as doing similar things to what He did. They forget Satan can also do miracles using his followers and that they still happen today.

These people were not considered divine until after their death so Jesus did not need to be divine at birth to do these things. This is different to Cessationism that says Jesus did miracles but they were only for the time of Jesus and not for today's church.

It also means that others can become divine and this belief was proven by The Catholic church who early in the 1800's issued four decrees making Mary equal to Jesus in many ways.

If He was not divine Jesus could not redeem us which is why new bibles imply Salvation requires us to work at getting to heaven and redemption was not completed by Jesus but by actions, we still need to do to complete it.

They stated 3rd and 4th Century documents were reliable and were to be used as the basis of translation.

Unfortunately for them it was proved that Codex Sinaiticus, and codex 2472 were forgeries and codex Sinaiticus was possibly a fifth century document so that none of the three documents they relied on were eligible to be used as a basis for Bible translations according to their criteria.

The codex Vaticanus uses capital letters which were not used in Manuscript before the 1100's. It also uses the Latin Vulgare names for people which a Greek write of the 4th Century would not do.

Tischendorf was told by Simonides and a witness who saw Simonides forged the Sinaiticus that the Sinaiticus was a forgery but he ignored this.

Code 2472 was supposedly an early Greek text but it was based on a Greek text published in 1860 and the ink used in it was not available to the late 1700's so it is a proven forgery which means modern Bibles have a problem with these three Greek texts. It is probable that the concern over the methods used to prove Codex 2472 could show Sinaiticus was also a fake which is why they withdrew the testing of it they were going to do on it.

Codex 2472 This was copied from the Vaticanus and showed that the ending of Mark (Mark 16:9-21) was in it in 1846 when it was copied but was removed before publication in 1864 of the Vaticanus. The Sinaiticus also had Mark 16:17-18 in it but it was written out before publication.

So, they lie when they say some of the earliest manuscripts (the two above they consider the earliest) do not have this passage in.

The translation of Modern text is based on Greek rational thinking and is not based on faith in God and what He said He had done with His Bible transmission.

Modern liberal theologians portray Jesus as a human, no different to Mohamed or Buddha so that

Catholic, Christian, and Muslim can fellowship together (the aim of Ecumenicism).

They portray a god (different to the God of The Bible). A god who could not transmit His bible correctly and had in its things they consider lies (Genesis are myths e.g.). In other words, they are not Christian but are a man's idea of what Christianity should be based on using their reasoning about Jesus resulting from accepting historical records as being the criteria and not faith in God.

It is obvious that they use reason rather than faith to work out what they believe the Bible should say using the historical culture of the time of Jesus to work out whom Jesus was and what He did ignoring what the Gospels said because they believe these are not a correct record of the life of Jesus.

They have nothing to show what they say is correct and ignore established Christian belief of over 1900 years and over 99% of the existing Greek texts, relying on 5 texts of which the three main ones are forgeries.

The Bible says that what is not of faith is sin, so deliberately, incorrectly expressing the truths God has said about Himself, Jesus and His life and purposes is sin. So modern bibles are a sin against God and His truths.

This means that modern Bibles translated by these liberal scholars and/or edited by them do not express what God said but what they have reasoned God should have said in The Bible.

They say they are expressing the mind of God even though we are told no one can know the mind of God. This shows how deceived they are and how dangerous their Bibles are as they remove 20% of the traditional Bible meaning only 80%. of their Bible can be accurate because old and new Bibles agree on this 80%. The 20% alterations based on reasoning is backed up by three codices all of which are forgeries which means the Greek text used for modern Bibles based on the Sinaiticus but altered even more by Westcott and Hort to express their heretical beliefs is even less usable for biblical translation.

They use reason (historical practice of the time of Jesus) to make those changes basing them on three codices which are ineligible to use for Bible translation.

They could not change the English text so changed the underlying Greek text.

How can churches using modern Bibles have faith in the divinity of Jesus and His virgin birth when modern bibles purposely do not teach these things and remove or alter any title of His that shows He is Divine?

How can they do the work of Jesus if they do not really believe Him to be God. His advice just becomes the advice of a holy man and this attitude is reflected in the worldliness of the church as it uses bibles based on worldly historical ideas.

Modern theology is based on reason and this lack of faith is why churches, using modern Bibles, are declining and actually worship a Jesus that is different to the Jesus in the Traditional Bibles that was worshipped the first 1850 years of Christianity. This is also one reason only 20% of Christians will go up in the rapture according to Jesus which means 80% of people who call themselves Christians really are not one.

Traditional Bibles have The Holy Spirit to guide the translators. Modern Bibles only have the reasonings of man without any external spiritual check to show their reasonings are correct. If they heard The Spirit, they would have translated the Bible correctly and made little if no changes.

You wonder how theologians, who question everything and accept only what is historically proven or belief of the time that is rationally possible, can translate a foreign language like Greek into a Bible that is supposedly based on faith and not things that are only rationally provable. This is why today's modern Bibles do not promote faith but raise doubt about supernatural things to do with Jesus and God.

Their approach has resulted in a god of a bible that is different to the traditional one accepted for over 1950 years. The modern church uses these 'Bibles' and now worship a different God to the one of the traditional Bible. Their God is based on rational processes of translation while the God of the traditional Bible is based on faith. This is what is leading to the apostasy of the last days and people do not even realise this.

There are two texts that go back to 150 AD that were ignored or made out to have been altered: The Itala or old Latin which the Catholic church replaced with Jerome's Latin Vulgate (which was not a good text as it was designed to back up Catholic Doctrine), and the Syriac Peshitta. These were ignored or considered altered by historical because they showed how corrupt the text of Westcott and Hort is.

The other problem is that the proof of Jesus and His Kingdom is the expression of the delegated Authority Jesus gave us, which they do not believe in, which means modern bible do not demonstrate that Jesus or His Kingdom exists as all they have are words and not expression of these to show this.

Because of this, they really are little different to other faiths that have the same high morals of Christianity.

Most Christians believe they are worshipping the true God but are not and so are in a form of apostasy because they reject the Biblical God and what He originally said in His Bible for one fashioned by the reasonings of men. They only commit sin in doing this if they knowingly and deliberately reject what God tells them about this in their spirit through The Holy Spirit.

Christ has been changed by modern theologians to be similar to the founders of other religions so that the Antichrist can combine all major religions (except Judaism) into a one world faith that all can believe in and fellowship in. To do this He must destroy the traditional faith in God and replace it with a faith that other religions can relate to and fellowship with and have religious books that enable this to be done.

I repeat It is not one world denomination but a one world belief system.

We have this one world bible now which is why this fellowship of 'Christians, Roman Catholics and Muslims is occurring so that a joint place of worship has been set up in Jerusalem.

This shows the danger of not having faith but trying to reason out things and ignoring what God says about them. Satan can use reason for his own purposes as he has done with modern bible translations.

What is not of faith is sin (falling short of Gods requirements) and modern theologians have proven this with their translations as well as with the approach to the three manuscripts they have used as the basis for the translation of modern bibles.

Where there is no faith there are no miracles. Where Jesus is not considered to be God before He was born there can be no delegation by Him to cast out Satan.

These are evidences of The Kingdom of God and these are missing from many churches which is why they do not represent The Kingdom of God but the denomination they belong too.

Miracles and casting out of Satan were signs of the early church which is so different to what is called Christianity today which lacks these marks of the early church so really does not represent early Christianity where these two things proved Jesus was greater than the religions around them.

The modern church usually does not have these as a part of it so do not represent the church Christ set up but something man has organized for control purposes. Without these two things the church is just like any other religious organisation.

When it has miracles and the casting out of Satan it prospered. Now when these are missing it dies or barely holds its own in society becoming more and more irrelevant to most people outside of it.

Without these two things the church is like any other faith founded by a man having good morals and someone to worship who is said to be a god but does not apparently have the authority of a god to defeat evil and do miracles. It becomes a whitewashed sepulchre, having the appearance of Christianity but none of the spiritual power and authority of it in it.

People seek spiritual authority and power and cannot find it in the Christian Evangelical church which is why they go elsewhere which is the reason the new age, witchcraft, Satanism and similar religions and cults are growing and the church is usually declining where it does not embrace spiritual power and authority.

Soon Jesus will change this with the last three great revivals of the final harvest before He returns when the whole world will have heard the Gospel.

Be ready to be a part of it as these are almost here!

Corollary

Reading the Bible is an act of faith, worship and Love:

Faith in something you believe in which is why you read The Bible to help increase it

You worship because you believe is better than any other book and that it is from God

You love it because you are reading about someone you love and love to read about Him.

Reading it as a chore or habit is the wrong way to approach it.

Modern theologians:

Do not believe what The Bible says in parts

Consider it to be alterable like any other book

Do not love or appreciate Jesus or they would not demean Him in it as they do.

And they are the ones supposedly to encourage your faith in God, the Bible and Jesus.

It can be seen they are not qualified to translate the Bible as they do not have the correct attitudes to do this.

Why liberal theologians are the way they are today

In the Middle Ages, The Bible ruled and science was subservient to what The Bible said about it. Science was not developed to the extent that its concepts were able to question what The Bible taught about the natural order of things.

Then came the enlightenment when science overtook The Bible as the way to believe things were true.

Today science is king and The Bible is made to submit to it. The Bible is made to submit to man's theories and reasonings about science and this has carried over into modern theology where what can be proved or is more historically possible is more important than what may have happened.

What caused this change in attitude?

First there was Cessationism in the church which cast doubt on there ever actually having been miracles

Secondly science seemed to show The Bible was wrong

Thirdly evolution seemed to show creation was a myth

Reason dictated The Bible had been incorrectly transmitted so needed correcting.

The first was Cessationism in the church which is dealt with later.

The second was the change in attitudes towards what The Bible said because of the new discoveries of which science seemed to contradict The Bible resulting from the attitudes shown towards it in the 'age of enlightenment' period.

The trials of Galileo in 1610 and 1633 were really about attitudes that would be a part of the age of enlightenment and not actually the theories involved in the trial. If Galileo had accepted the old theories, then there would have been no trial. The trial was a result of the fact that new theories were expressed and the rejection of these theories would mean that these attitudes were also rejected. So, in a sense, the age of the enlightenment's embryo was possible in the early 1600s and its full expression was later in the 18th Century.

It could be said that before the 'enlightenment' matured, science was aimed at expressing the Glories of God, revealing His Glory in the discoveries that were made, while the age of enlightenment was aimed at knowledge of things by man, giving man the glory for the discoveries made. The object of focus was turned from God to man and along with this faith in the things of God diminished amongst those who examined the things of science.

Satan succeeded in this plan which has borne fruit in the modern age to make the Christian Church to have faith in things that scientifically considered did not occur.

This change of focus was so important to Satan that He has also changed modern worship from praising God and His works to focusing on what the person singing is going to do.

Cessationism

We need to examine what Cessationism is and how it affected the church and then to examine the attitudes in the age of enlightenment that changed or modified the attitudes in the church to The Bible.

I will not present arguments for or against these two things as the purpose of this document is not to justify any stance but to just show the effects they had on faith in The Bible and acceptance of The Bible as fact by theologians.

In Christianity, Cessationism is the 'pseudo doctrine' that spiritual gifts such as speaking in tongues, prophecy and healing ceased with the original twelve apostles along with the deliverance ministry and true spiritual warfare. This is generally opposed to continuationism, which teaches that The Holy Spirit at any time may bestow the spiritual gifts on persons other than the original twelve apostles.

Cessationists teach that the primary purpose of miracles was to authenticate the Apostles' message as being of a divine origin and, therefore, authoritative (Hebrews 2:3-4). However, since the completion of the Canon, the Church can test the truthfulness of any message claiming to represent God, against his written revelation which they say has been completed, makes any supernatural sign as unnecessary (2 Peter 1:19; see also 2 Timothy 3:16-17).

This misses the whole point that signs and wonders are to show that Jesus and The Kingdom of God are real and without them The Kingdom of God cannot be proven to actually exist but needs to be taken on faith, a faith Jesus did not expect His apostles to have because they actually saw The Kingdom's authority being expressed on earth.

The expression of The Kingdom shows that Jesus has an actual place to rule from and it is not fictional.

Cessationists believe that, given that the authority of the early church has been established and the New Testament is complete, Christians do not require charismatic gifts to guide them. So, they believe that the spiritual gifts cease to operate today after the death of the last of the twelve apostles and are no longer in effect today.

The problems Cessationism causes to the church.

Because the supernatural expression of the gifts and the expression of the authority He has delegated to us over Satan are the proof of Jesus resurrecting and are the only expression of His Kingdom on earth then removing these things removes that which differentiates the Christian church from other religions making it no different to most of them.

So, when science comes along and needs proof of the supernatural the church can provide none of, science can proceed with its assumptions and theories even though they may remove faith in things that people may have because the church cannot show that faith is real not having anything supernatural to show their faith is in a real God and not one just talked about. Cessationism is

necessary so that the church will be little different to other world religions when the Antichrist makes his one world religious system.

Age of Enlightenment

The Enlightenment was a philosophical movement that dominated the world of ideas in Europe in the 18th century. The Enlightenment included a range of ideas centred on reason as the primary source of authority and legitimacy, along with increased questioning of religious orthodoxy.

European politics, philosophy, science and communications were radically reoriented as part of a movement referred to by its participants as the Age of Reason, or simply the Enlightenment. Enlightenment thinkers in Britain, in France and throughout Europe questioned traditional authority and embraced the notion that humanity could be improved through rational change. The Enlightenment produced numerous books, essays, inventions, scientific discoveries, laws, wars and revolutions. The American and French Revolutions were directly inspired by Enlightenment ideals and respectively marked the peak of its influence and the beginning of its decline. The Enlightenment ultimately gave way to 19th-century Romanticism.

Reason was very important and whatever reasoned that was reasonable was accepted even if it went against current norms and traditions such as Biblical belief and doctrine.

It was also a time of religious (and anti-religious) innovation, as Christians sought to reposition their faith along rational lines and deists and materialists argued that the universe seemed to determine its own course without God's intervention.

Science, especially evolution, played a major role in people questioning the historical correctness of The Bible.

In the Middle Ages, the belief in God was behind everything so science had to obey The Bible. In the enlightenment, God was an impersonal player as there was no proof He existed because the supernatural was now absent from the established church as a result of Cessationism and so it became a battle of words between the church and science. If Pentecostalism and Charismatic churches had been around things may have been different.

The reformation was a reaction against the spiritual excesses of the Catholic church in the middle and late middle ages so was excluded from its belief system so that there was nothing left to show God existed, except faith, which was modified by rationalism and the focus on history rather than on faith.

Because God was considered impersonal Satan managed to hide the fact that eternal life was a relationship with God! This attitude is still in current churches which is why Jesus said 80% of people who call themselves Christians will not go up in the rapture. They know of Him but do not have a personal relationship with Him (Jn17:3).

Knowledge does not save you as Satan knows more about God than any theologian. It is the personal relationship with Jesus and The Father guided by The Holy Spirit that saves you.

God made mankind to have this relationship with Him and so it is required to be with Him in heaven.

The church had little evidence of a supernatural God while science had all the evidence it needed to prove it because all it theorised about, (at that stage), could be seen or proved by experiment or rational thinking. People embraced science and rejected Christian belief because it could not be proved and God did not appear to be real because He was not allowed to work through the church to prove His existence.

Science had obtained such authority that theories, like evolution, were accepted as fact in spite of the evidence that showed it was incorrect.

So, a culture arose where it was allowable to doubt The Bible because what it said, they believed, could not be proven at that time and was appeared not to be backed up by science and archaeology and God did not appear to be real or relevant because He was not personally working miracles to prove who He was and by these miracles show that what He said happened in The Bible could have happened.

So, because of science appearing correct and that the church did not demonstrate the supernatural Kingdom of God, science became more important than faith so that it was easy for people to believe The Bible was inaccurate and needed correcting.

Why the Sinaiticus and Vaticanus were important

You are a theologian who believes The Bible is not correct because it does not align with what science is finding out about the world, especially evolution, which had been around 100 years or more before and was disproved before Darwin wrote his book. So, you believe the Bible is corrupted and was not copied (transmitted) correctly.

The church does not show there is a supernatural element to nature because of Cessationism so you become rational about things looking for natural explanations in all things.

As you are a scientist, history is very important so you look for historical Greek texts much older than the ones used for the King James Bible and when two texts are discovered apparently 1400 years old you embrace them.

You do not worry about doctrinal differences as you believe the doctrine in the King James is incorrect, being the result of later texts where errors were copied into it so that it does not accurately reflect original doctrine according to your viewpoint. This is why you cannot used doctrine to convince modern theologians that their texts are incorrect because they believe they are older and are more doctrinally correct.

So, they promoted the translation of this 'New, improved, earlier text' and ignore the arguments of those who follow the King James Bible.

The problem is that these two texts used were forgeries and were only used as a starting point for the text used for modern day bibles which were altered according to the heretical beliefs of the editors of the modern Greek (Westcott and Hort) used for biblical translation.

Attitudes like the following arise:

Because you have no other Greek texts and the ones you had for the King James you consider erroneous in some degree you also look at other religions to see if they align

with your version of Christianity.

Because you believe your two Greek texts are correct as far as you are concerned you consider their doctrine is correct and no doctrine is altered by them. If you consider they are incorrect in the King James it is because of the erroneous texts used by the translators of the King James.

Because you do not believe in a supernatural event because none are evident in the church you remove as many of these as you can, and mythologise Ch 1-11 of Genesis. Declaring they are myths like those of other world religions that have in them stories about the creation and the flood.

The pseudo-science of evolution also requires you to treat Genesis ch 1-11 as myths,

Because you compare Jesus to others of His time you cannot believe He was divine at birth so you remove Mark 16:9-21 because He could not delegate that authority over Satan, alter His titles in the Gospels to remove His divinity, alter verses such as 1 John 5:7 and Acts 8:37 and also as you do not believe He can redeem you so you remove Him from these references when you can e.g. Col 1:14. These changes are subtle at times and will be seen only if you look for them.

Because all world religions do not have God born as a man you reason Jesus must be a man so cannot be sinless. So, he appears to sin in Matt 5:22 and John 7:8. Because birth after sex with a god and deification after death was common belief and practice around the time of Christ you remove the Virgin Birth of Jesus.

Because other founders of religions were deified you have no problem with Jesus being Lord in heaven but not on earth. So, every reference to divinity in His lifetime is inappropriate so you remove these from The Bible where possible.

You are not concerned about the miracles of Jesus or the gifts of The Spirit occurring today because of Cessationism in the churches. Besides they are not present today in most of Christianity.

This means you do not believe the supernatural events mentioned in The King James Bible and attested to Jesus you write about are real ones

So, you have a Jesus who was born a man, did good but was not perfect and died and became like an ascended master or god. But he was not god before he died and because He sinned, He could not redeem mankind.

The Bible translations derived from the Greek you make out of these two codices reflects all of these suppositions and the churches that use them also unconsciously accept them.

So, the church becomes more like the pagan religions used to evaluate Christianity and it no longer demonstrates The Kingdom of God because it no longer demonstrates The Kingdom of God's authority over demons and nature.

This suits Satan who has to corrupt Christianity and its holy book so that Jesus is like the founder of other world religions and the supernatural is really not a part of their worship or lifestyle. This he

has to do to prepare for Antichrist and so he can form the one world religion for Satan to be worshipped by people.

The supernatural miracles of Antichrist will convince the world to follow him after he is elected as its ruler because of the peace he brings (which he can do because Satan stops the wars he has created)

Satan and his demons and their evil nature have to be hidden so Jesus is the one made to fall from heaven for rebellion in Is 14:12 and not Satan. Hell is changed to another name (Sheol) so people do not realise it is hell being spoken about and so do not associate the punishments of hell with the new name used for it. Satan needs this done for liberal Christians to accept him as beneficial when he first appears on the scene in the tribulation.

Antichrist will be a normal man, like the founder of any other religion, and will be honoured like the founders of other religions are honoured.

Meanwhile the defenders of both Greek texts will fight to the death defending their text, believing the other side is deceived.

So, a generation has grown up not knowing the doctrine of the King James Version and only know Bibles based on the new Greek which is man's reasoned attempt to 'correct' The Bible. This means they will not see any doctrinal error in Bibles based on the new Greek and to them the King James will have the errors.

The stage is ready now for Antichrist to appear as he has a whole generation now trained in the bible he has created for the Christian church to use and has moulded it to be like other religions so it can be easily assimilated with them in his one world church.

Why write this this section?

The Lord had me write it to show it was useless to argue doctrine or texts with modern theologians as they believed they were correct and the King James proponents were wrong and any reasoned arguments by the King James proponents would not make them change their minds because they had the 'best' Greek texts and science and history on their side. In otherwords their doctrine is correct and that of the King James is wrong as its text is inferior.

These liberal Christians have to be convicted by The Holy Spirit that they are wrong as man cannot do it.

Perhaps we should be binding the demons blinding them to hearing The Holy Spirit so they will hear t e truth about modern bibles.

So do not debate from a viewpoint of doctrine with modern theologians unless The Holy Spirit says to, but to fight the demons behind them that are convicting them to fight for the apostate Greek text.

Do not argue doctrine but show their texts are forgeries so that their translations have no basis to exist.

Which God do these liberal theologians serve?

If they love Jesus, they serve Him, even if their understanding of who He is, is not correct. God looks at the heart not the mind and if they love Jesus as Lord and try to obey Him that is all He

requires.

It is what you do with what you know that Jesus will judge you on and not on what others say you should know or do.

If they Love Jesus as they should, they will also be in the correct salvation relationship they need to be in to go to heaven.

As long as you wholeheartedly follow what you have understood as being the truth the God accepts your following Him and The Holy Spirit will show you the truth to free you from the heresy of modern liberal theologians.

Do those following modern versions go to heaven?

These people follow error taught by those in authority over them and who would not follow these errors if they knew the truth. God judges their heart to see if it is correct in attitude towards Him and not just what they are supposed to know.

God judges you on what you do with what you know and not on what others say you should know.

Salvation is a relationship with Jesus and The Father and not a head knowledge of Jesus and The Father.

So do not judge their salvation. But it is possible to say that their lifestyle is such that it indicates they may not get to heaven and even then, remember you may be in the same boat. So better usually not to say anything but to point them to what The Bibles says and to encourage them to follow the truths of The Bible.

The Bible is always their judge and all you can do is point to what it says and help them to overcome any problems they have obeying it.

Closing comments

Without the expression of the authority of Jesus and the work of The Holy Spirit, the bible of Liberal Christianity is just the like the words of any other religion. It might as well be the words of Jehovah's Witnesses, Unitarians or Mormons. In fact, there are members of some of these cults on editorial committees of Modern Bibles because there is nothing to show the words of the Bible are different to the words of other holy books.

And some Jehovah's witnesses prefer the NIV to their own heretical Bible for witnessing purposes which say a lot for the trustworthiness of the NIV.

So how does the true Church show it represents Jesus?

It expresses the Authority of Jesus over nature, demons, and the works of Satan

It demonstrates effective spiritual warfare.

How does a person show The Holy Spirit was sent by Jesus and is at work in the world today?

They are guided by The Holy Spirit so know how to serve Jesus effectively as well as when to speak and what say to those The Spirit is convicting, about the errors of modern theology.

They demonstrate the Gifts of The Holy Spirit in their life.

Where science is concerned there is a need to show science is wrong and The Bible correct but as there is a lot of emotionalism by scientist who defend evolution and faith is required to believe in evolution and logical arguments will usually get you nowhere. Even factual scientific evidence is not accepted at times because the 'religion' of evolution is so strong.

Unless the church demonstrates the authority of Jesus over Satan, his works and nature it will not effectively present Jesus and The Kingdom of God as being factual and show that modern Bibles are wrong through proving them wrong about what they have said about Jesus and The Holy Spirit. Arguments will only be words by two sides who are convinced they are correct and the other side is wrong.

Unfortunately, instead of learning about these things from Denominations that demonstrate these things the established church prefers to criticise them to justify their own position and by this condemn many to a faith that is far less than what it should be, which is to be expected if reason and knowledge is more important than listening to The Holy Spirit and demonstrating The Kingdom of God to the world when the denominational approach to things over rides what The Bible says about them.

Reason and knowledge are very important to Satan as he can guide them to suit what he wants people to believe, especially when there are no facts to prove things like evolution is real and that it is all faith and conjecture.

The approach of liberal theologians toward God

Modern theology is a result of the rationalism of the 17th Century in which man reasoned things based on the experience of nature around them and apparent history and fact which is why evolution became so popular. God was secondary to science so that science overrode what The Bible stated about something.

They believed God was either impersonal or not all powerful which is why they said that we cannot know what The Bible really said as God did not care enough or was not powerful enough to preserve the original text of it so that we would have it. This means we need to find out ourselves what it means and what it means must be deduced from the religions we know of that believe in a god or gods.

This is why Modern Bibles can change their texts as they obtain a 'better understanding' of what they believe The Bible should say and which is why it is no use debating them on doctrine as they believe their erroneous doctrine is correct so that no doctrine, according to them, is affected by their translation.

They go to the oldest manuscripts believing they are more accurate than more modern ones and use them as a starting point for translation. It does not matter to them that these manuscripts come from a part of Christianity rejected by the majority Christians in the early Christian period as being in error and so was not orthodox belief in the early church, or that the ones they consider oldest are forgeries, or that they are copies of early documents so according to them also have error in them?

They are supposedly the oldest record and historians go by what they have and not by what 'folklore' says happened. There is the problem that, of the three manuscripts used by them as the main basis for their translations, all are fakes so they really have no textual basis for the changes

they make to the traditional Bible.

They say that there is no guarantee that the early documents of the church have been correctly preserved so that they cannot be used to contradict their 'improved' Greek.

To do this they have too:

Show older documents like the Syriac Peshitta and the Itala Bible were altered so they reflected the KJV Greek and not the true Greek they believe they are rediscovering,

Make Jesus human, like the founder of other religions

Remove the authority of Christians over demons and sickness as other religions do not have this and because Jesus could not have made the delegation given to us to do this (although demons can perform some of the miracles that Jesus did and do fake deliverances by removing demons from one person and placing them in another.

Make Jesus an ascended master or similar or a holy one who is deified like many religions have allowing them to have other humans become deified (Mary is an example) or revered as superior to normal people (saints)

Remove the direct and personal interaction of God with people so you need to do things to satisfy your god or work out what your god wants you to do making The Holy Spirit impersonal or a spiritual force, removing the personal role of guidance He has in The Bible. This requires 'authorised people to be a go-between you and God to know what God desires you to do. Spirit guides (human or spirits), priests, mediums or others placed between you and god.

This is one reason Westcott and Hort started a spiritist society where they contacted spirits rather than God for advice.

Make it necessary to be saved through your personal efforts and not by faith so stopping the need to believe in a particular god for salvation by removing redemption because Jesus was supposedly not divine so could not pay the penalty for sin,

Remove the hell of Christianity and replacing it with what world religions call hell, if they have a hell in their belief system. This is why Hell is missing from modern bibles and Sheol is used instead by them. If there is no punishment for evil then there are no evil angels to corrupt people to go there so Satan can be classified as good and have the same status of Jesus and even be the brother of Jesus, as some believe.

This suits Satan as it allows him to make Christianity like any other religion so it can be united with them in a one world general religion that has the basic beliefs of all and which allows for some variance from the norm in their beliefs. This is why pagan events and beliefs are now rife throughout the Christian world.

Witchcraft is expanding as it has a supernatural element and if Jesus is like other religious leaders and even Satan (a good guy some believe) why follow Jesus when Christianity appears to have no spiritual element or power.

Look at modern Bibles closely and all the six changes mentioned above will be found in them.

Note: If The Bible is not the final authority, then any cult can be part of the one world church. If The Bible we use classifies them as a cult we do not accept them and if The Bible is not the ultimate authority, then what you believe is correct, providing it fits in with what is generally acceptable as religion, and can be a part of your church or belief system.

People say the new bibles are Gnostic but they are not. They use a Gnostic text and build on it to make Christianity not Gnostic but new age so that all religions and new age beliefs can join as one. This is so Hinduism, Buddhism, Satanism, even witchcraft will be able to identify with the principles of this 'improved' Christian' belief system.

The bona-fide Muslims, Jews and true Christians will not be a part of this new 'world belief system' which is why they are destroyed by the new religion and its government.

The Antichrist must be going to be revealed soon because the bible he needs is ready and ecumenicism and interfaith dialogue are become more open so that heads of the different religions even worship together which they could or would not have done under the faith requirements of the King James Bible.

Some of the changes Satan has made to the Bible

The Bible is the handbook of The Kingdom of God so Satan has used liberal theologians to attack it because:

It tells us type of faith required to enter The Kingdom

It tells us about Jesus, who He is and what He has done for us and will do for us

It tells us how to obtain eternal life and live to maintain it

It tells us what God requires of us as His Citizens

It tells us how to defeat Satan

Satan has to remove its message in important ways and he uses liberal theologians to do this. It is only as they are able to modify the Bible to reinforce their liberal stance on doctrine can they succeed with their heresy and replace The Kingdom of God with their ideas (really Satan's) of God and His Kingdom.

Heresy and Modern Bible Versions

Noah Webster, in his 1828 American Dictionary of the English Language gives a definition of heresy (which I have paraphrased as follows):

The original meaning was to take hold of something. The word, in his days meant a fundamental error in the Christian faith or untenable or unsound opinion or doctrine.

Heresy is perhaps too general a word as the person you are calling a heretic for using a modern bible also calls you one. The same is with apostasy so that is also not a good word to use. An

absolute reference is needed and I propose the King James Version and the traditional received Greek text is used to define that which is an error as this translation sits on over 1850 years of continuous Bible. So, heresy, as discussed in this book is from a traditional King James point of view and not from the viewpoint of liberal theologians who may find nothing heretical about any of their belief system.

To identify heresy, you need to Have a reference to show the error or heresy. The Greek and Hebrew texts of the King James Bible are proven as being the yardstick for measuring scripture translations and will be used for the purposes of establishing a standard by which to reveal error or heresy in modern bibles translations.

You cannot use the Vaticanus or Sinaiticus as they are proven forgeries and were written by man and not God.

You need to know The Bible truths so that the error or heresy is clearly understood.

You need to hear The Holy Spirit to be guided by Him in understanding the error or heresy and showing the heresy for what it is and how to deal with them

You need to observe the fruit of the heretical belief to see if it is Godly or not.

Heretics attack the truths of the Bible in a way that backs up their teaching and beliefs so that their heresy is supported by their version of the bible either by what they make it say or the way they comment on it. Unfortunately, they cannot see the error of their beliefs and only the Holy Spirit can show this to them but they do not believe He is personal so do not listen for His guidance.

The liberal nature of modern Bible translations

Anything that modifies doctrine or denigrates Jesus is heretical. The modern, critical text does this so it is heretical and the modern Bible versions based on this text as a result must be heretical. It only requires one thing for it to be heretical like substituting Jesus for Satan in Is 14:12 so Jesus has now fallen from heaven and not Satan (and implies Jesus came to earth involuntarily), or changing Ps 12:7 implying the Bible is no longer preserved by God, or the changing of the Names of Jesus so He is less Divine. This is heresy at its worst and these changes can only have been deliberate by people who did not accept the divinity of Jesus and so believe He could not be God before His death.

It only takes one doctrinal change to make a bible untrustworthy and useless for the purposes of the Christian faith but I have shown modern versions have numerous doctrinal errors (if only because they attack the divinity of Jesus) as a result of following the critical Greek text.

Given the liberal nature of the critical text it can only be assumed that the translators and editors do not know true doctrine or have modified these modern versions to suit and agenda of liberal doctrine they have. They are not judged by man but by the words they write and claim they are from God which words conflict with the Words God has preserved for over 1800 years.

In these last days when the Lukewarm Church is to be revealed for what it is and a one world religion (belief system) formed in preparation for the Antichrist, the critical text has appeared in time for it to be prepared to be the Text of those Christians that want to join this one world religion and in the process of becoming this create a lukewarm faith and resulting lukewarm Church.

Some websites to obtain more information from:

The Dean Burgin Society: <http://www.deanBurginsociety.org/>

The Bible for Today: <http://www.biblefortoday.org/>

Way of Life Literature on Bible Corruptions: <http://www.wayoflife.org/>

AV Publications: <http://www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html>

Trinitarian Bible Society was formed in 1830 when Unitarians took over the Bible Society in England and also have good material on Bible corruption: <http://www.trinitarianbiblesociety.org/>

Chick Publications also has some good thought-provoking material on their web site:
<http://www.chick.com/information/bibleversions/>

This has information on the King James Version amongst other things <http://www.jesus-is-lord.com/#King James Version>

The three main texts used for modern bible translation

Codex 2427

This was used to translate the Gospel of Mark in the 7th UBS Greek edition.

This has been proved to be a fake being based on a Greek text translation available only between 1857 and 1859 because a better Greek version replaced it in 1867 so it fell into disuse after this. It was based on an edition of the Vaticanus used by a Phillip Buttman. No other Greek manuscript has the mistakes Buttman made in his Greek text.

It also shows that Mark 16:9-21 was in the Vaticanus when it was copied from it in 1846 but was removed from it when it was published in 1865

There is also the problem that some of the ink used in the codex was not available before 1800.

See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=39WV0AgeC8w&index=46&list=PLhmAbEGx-AnRnnY4pE6kwj1XYsqUeH0gY>

In other words the latest Greek text used to translate the Gospel of Mark is rubbish and fraudulent.

Codex Vaticanus

This was supposed to be a Fourth century codex but the format it is in was not used until the fifth century between 440 and 464 AD. So, it falls outside the period used to declare a document reliable for Bible translation. So theoretically it should not be used for modern Bible translation but only as an aid.

It is also a forgery.

Why would a 5th century Greek writer use Latin Vulgate names like the Vaticanus does.

It also uses capital letters which were not used until around 1100.

As modern Bibles use this as one of the basis for their translation then according to their method of choosing texts to translate, they should not have used it.

Codex Sinaiticus.

When a stock take was made in the early eighteenth century of the documents in the monastery it was found in, the Sinaiticus was not listed in the list of complete Bibles. It miraculously appeared later without any history of where it has been until then or how it had been obtained.

It was found in a monastery that was run by the uncle of Simonides one of the most brilliant forgers of the 19th century who stated he forged it. No one wanted to believe him and when the person who had seen him forge the Sinaiticus told Tischendorf of this, Tischendorf refused to believe him.

So, we have the forger and one who witnessed the forgery say it was a fake and no one wanted to believe them.

They were going to test the Sinaiticus but after codex 2427 was shown to be a forgery because of the ink used in it, they withdrew the offer to test the Sinaiticus. If they were certain it was real and not a forgery, they would have tested it.

Unfortunately, it is the one codex most trusted as the basis for modern bibles.

It had Mark 16:9-21 in but this was written out of the text before it was published as can be seen by the way the text is formatted at the end of Mark.

As it can be seen the Greek used for modern bibles (that of Westcott and Hort) is based on two forgeries and one unreliable manuscript so their changes cannot be trusted. Satan has succeeded in having his corrupted bible replace the true one and few realise this or why he did it.

Also, their Greek critical text altered that of Sinaiticus to promote their belief system and its heresies.

So, who is the God of Liberal theologians who have made the modern translations?

It cannot be Jesus as he is declared by them to be human

It also cannot be the God that lied about preserving His Bible

Who is left?

The only other god is Satan!

How has he accomplished this?

By having people (respected biblical scholars) cast doubt on the accuracy of The Bible including how Jesus is represented in it.

Scholars have recreated what they believe The Bible originally said which includes how God is seen in it. To do this they reject the true Jesus of The True Bible. This allows Satan to guide these doubting scholars to write how he desires God to be represented by Jesus. This means in modern bibles we look at what man says God is and not what God says He is.

This is how man-made religions function where their view of God is made from man's reasonings guided by Satan. Because of this the God of modern Christianity does not offend the god of other religions. After all they are designed by Satan using what men say what God is and not what The Bible says God is so that all can accept each other's god.

In Daniel 3:25, The King James says:

Dan 3:25 He answered and said, Lo, I see four men loose, walking in the midst of the fire, and they have no hurt; and the form of the fourth is like the Son of God.

Jesus is in the furnace and God is His Father. Satan could not have that so he had modern versions say:

(RV) He answered and said, Lo, I see four men loose, walking in the midst of the fire, and they have no hurt; and the aspect of the fourth is like a son of the gods.

This would be what a pagan would say who believes there are more than one person fathered by God and that there are multiple Gods. The influence of Greek mythology and thought can be seen in this.

Modern theology says that we do not have the original Greek writings of the Apostles so they have to work out what God is trying to say in His Bible. Their God was unable to maintain the accuracy of what He tried to teach us in The Scriptures. He was not in sufficient control of things to be able to do this or did not care whether or not we knew what He required from us. This God is not all powerful and not in complete control of things and does not guide man to interpret The Scriptures so they have to reason out what He said. This means they are as intelligent as God to be able to do this or their God does not know everything as man is able to tell Him what He was trying to say in The Scriptures.

This also means God is not in complete control of our life and we have to help Him run it.

This also implies something terrible. That for nearly 1600 years the Christian church has not had the true scriptures which they say are only coming to light now having been lost and now being rediscovered implying the God of Christians is a lesser God who cannot control things to preserve His Scriptures.

How could the church be so wrong in the first 100 years after the death of Christ when the information was only first or second hand.

How correct are the modern theologians in their corrections?

The problem modern theologians have is that they have no standard to compare their work to see if it is correct. So, while they say they are working out what God was trying to say, they cannot say they are correct because they have no standard to compare what they say too to show that it is correct. So, you cannot trust any of the new modern versions as there really is no standard from God, according to them, to show they have correctly divined what God was trying to say. So, in a sense they are back where they started still not knowing what they believe God said is correct with the added disadvantage of being guided by what they have reasoned which may or not may not be correct.

Codex Vaticanus, Codex 2427 and Codex Sinaiticus are forgeries so cannot be used by them to

correct The Bible and the critical Greek of Westcott and Hort has even less authority to be used for bible translation being a corruption of a forgery (being a corrupt translation of the Sinaiticus). So, these texts are not reliable as a basis for correcting the traditional Greek text to what God is supposed to have said. This means their corrections have no basis as there is no text to back them up.

So, they get closer to what God said or further away by relying on possible inaccurate reasoning about what He said. Even if they are getting this correct you still cannot trust modern versions because you have nothing to compare them too to show they are actually correct in what they have determined The Bible says.

They have not got an accurate version or they would be using it and not need to work out what is correct. This is why so many Greek text revisions have been made by Nestles and others as they keep finding out what they believe God said and have to keep changing the critical Greek to be more like The King James Greek. Their God cannot even make a consistent Greek text he is so uncaring or powerless to communicate with modern theologians.

They serve two Gods:

Themselves and

One of their own makings.

So, their God is:

Not all knowing

Not all powerful

Not a God of Love or he would have tried harder to have them have a correct set of Scriptures

So, He is a bit of an uncaring wimp or a loveless God or both and they have it wrong in their bibles when they say 'God is Love'.

The alternate viewpoint is that God preserved His Scriptures and it is in found in the Textus Receptus and similar manuscripts. The Textus Receptus has the advantage also of not needing to find out what God wanted to say to us as it goes back to the apostles so that we have an unbroken teaching from Jesus to the present day. It is also confirmed by the Syriac Peshitta and the old Itala Bibles which are older than the three forgeries.

So, the modern theologians have a wimp of a God, who cannot protect even what He wants to tell us and/or who is indifferent to us and lets man reason out what He said so without having us able to determine if it is accurate, so that you really cannot know if what is in modern bibles is what God said because it is only what man has reasoned is correct.

This means you cannot be certain how to be saved, redeemed and live The Christian life in the way God desires it to be lived.

So, to trust these Bibles is to take your salvation in your hands because you cannot even trust what they said about it or redemption. After all they have reasoned it is what God said and may not be what He said (shown by the need to constantly revise the official Greek text). There will be truth in

their Bibles but also error and as they do not have a scripture that is correct to compare it to you cannot really trust any of it as being what God said but only what they believed their God said.

The writings of the Textus Receptus (the Greek of the King James Text) comes from a direct line to the Apostles and has been preserved by God for over 1900 years so can be trusted. The God of The King James Version loves us enough to maintain His teachings for us to follow and has controlled their preservation so we have them today as they originally were.

God always gives us His things freely at no cost and the King James is not copyrighted so is freely available to all and cannot be controlled like the copyrighted modern bibles can be.

As an example, 100,000 NIV Bibles were being shipped to Japan but had not had paid royalties on them so the copyright owner had them destroyed and robbed 100,000 plus Japanese the chance to read them and learn some truths about Christianity. This copyright owner also has copyright to the Satanic Bible which shows money is more important to them in Bible Publishing than getting the truth of God out to people.

This is why you will not hear about these codices being forgeries. Too much money and prestige would be lost if the truth was known about them.

This alone shows that man is in control of modern bibles and not God and so they are not of Him or they would be free to all, except for printing and distribution costs where applicable.

The God of the modern Bible is a capitalist unlike the God of The King James who gives what He has freely to all.

Which God do you worship?

The wimpish Capitalistic God of the modern versions who allows man to reason out what He said and control their distribution so that 100000 NIV bibles on their way to Japan were destroyed because they were not printed by the copyright owner who had them destroyed because they did not get a copyright fee? or

A God, who is able to preserve His wisdom for us to know and who gives us freely all things we need for us to enjoy.

I know whom I would choose.