

Modern Translations

The approach of modern theologians

1 Only original documents are inerrant

Originals destroyed years ago

What we have are copies

They believe we have to correct them

They do not have the original documents to show they are error free

No matter how old they are or of what versions they are (KJV, Peshitta, Modern Greek) they will have errors in them

Tradition is no help as it is man's viewpoint and not God's

Attitude needed to edit Bible according to their reasonings

A belief God could not preserve the content of the Bible

Goes against what God said Ps 12:6-7

Those not believing this (Ps 12:6-7) do not believe in the God of the Bible

Theologians believe they have to work out what God actually meant and fix the errors

A believe they can think like God does and repeat what He would say in that verse

2 We do not have the originals to know what is in error or how to correct it

No matter what copies there are of the original documents they cannot know how erroneous they are

Jesus used copies of The Torah so what He quoted from it may not be correct according to modern theologians as they would have been copies and not originals

You cannot know what is in error

Allows theologians to put their view into the Bible translation

They can only assume they know as there is no

original document to compare to determine what the errors are and according to them the earliest documents must have errors in so cannot be used to correct later documents

There is no real early document to compare to for accuracy

All may have error in them

We can not really know if they are true copies of the original

We have to use tradition to believe what ancient writer said and that is faulty as each writer covers their version of tradition with their own viewpoint

The Greek for the KJV has more tradition than that for modern versions but that does mean 'it is correct' as we do not have the original documents they are based on to use to correct the Greek we have

3 Do they believe The Bible

They believe that they think the Bible should say

They ignore what has been accepted for over 1800 years by the Christian Church

If they believed what God said in Ps 12:6-7 they would accept what it says and not change it to fit their views

By their viewpoint they are telling God what He can and cannot say ignoring tradition of over 1800 Years

4 Comparison of minds

God deals individually with 7 billion people and interacts personally with every one

He runs a universe

God actually sustains them so they can do things here

Theologians have trouble dealing with only a few people

They have problems controlling a few things on earth

To say they can work out what God says is incorrect and arrogant (Is 55:8,9) and implies they are His mental equal

Theologians cannot even to compare to the mind of God let alone to what He is thinking.

5 Holy Spirit is the interpreter of The Bible

They do not believe He can be heard or is relevant

This means they need to use reason and what they have been taught as theologians on how to Interpret Scripture

What they have been taught as theologians may be in error as they rely on tradition and possible erroneous versions

This means it is their attitudes, knowledge and reasonings that are used to interpret the Bible

6 Examples in Modern Bibles

Mark 16:17-18

Our delegation over Satan

Why would God remove it from The Bible?

Matt 5:22

Many modern versions say that if you are angry you sin

Jesus was angry

According to these Bibles Jesus sinned and cannot be The Messiah

Why would God put that in The Bible?

Acts 8:38 is left out of many modern versions allowing infant baptism or baptism by anyone, even non-Christians

Modern Versions have other errors in them so cannot be trusted

7 Bible codices

KJV 1800 years of tradition

Syriac Peshitta 2000 years of tradition agrees 95% with KJV

Modern Greek no tradition and ignored for 1400 years only agrees 80% with KJV and Peshitta

No traditional The Greek manuscript used for the RV

It as such a bad translation had to be revised to ERV soon after

Editors of the Greek used did not publish the Greek behind the RV until after it was published

People were unable to evaluate the Greek when the RV published

RV inaccuracies and alterations not realised as a result

Implies Jesus sinned

Implies we cannot command Satan 'in Jesus' Name'

Used by cults in preference to their own version

8 What version to use?

Peshitta and KJV used for 1800+ years

Modern versions used only really for roughly 130 years

No other language of early Christianity backs up modern translations

Cannot use ancient Greek texts to back Modern Greek texts as they are not original documents and have error in them they believe

The earliest examples, according to them must have error in them and as they agree with the KJV and Peshitta it would imply modern versions are highly inaccurate as they would have only agreed in 80% with these early documents.

Only one witness unlike KJV and Peshitta and it cannot witness to itself

You cannot pick and choose what documents you are going to use which the editors of the modern Greek did as no document is guaranteed to be error free.

The Greeks used for the modern bibles are forgeries and have no right to be used for Bible Translation.

KJV and Peshitta do not have doctrinal problems like Modern translations do and witness to each other and are witnessed to by the Itala Translation

KJV and Peshitta cannot be used by cults like modern versions can

Jehovah Witnesses prefer NIV to their New World Edition Bible

Why is Satan using NIV instead of New World Version?

According to theologians no Bible today has the original source documents to witness to it

KJV, Peshitta have continuity of use since the time of the Apostles (1800+ years)

Modern Greek was not used by the church as it was unknown to them and considered so inaccurate and had no tradition of usage before it was published in 1881 after the EV was published

On the basis of probabilities the KJV and Peshitta we have today are far more accurate than the translations based on the Greek text of 1881 which only agrees with the KJV and Peshitta 80% of the time and which has no tradition of usage to back up its claims of being a better Greek text than that used for the KJV.